

## Section - 1

## Introduction:

We consider the General 1<sup>st</sup> order eqn

$$y' = f(x, y) \rightarrow ①$$

Where  $f$  is some continuous function only in certain special cases it is possible to find explicit analytic expression for the soln of ①

One such special case is the linear equation

$$y' = g(x)y + h(x) \rightarrow ②$$

Where,  $g, h$  are continuous on some interval I.

Any soln  $\varphi$  of ② can be written in the form,

$$\varphi(x) = e^{\int_{x_0}^x g(t) dt} \left( \int_{x_0}^x h(t) dt + c \right) \rightarrow ③$$

where  $\alpha(x) = \int_{x_0}^x g(t) dt$ ,  $x_0$  in I and  $c$  is constant.

Our main purpose is to find a wide class of eqn of the form ① have soln and that soln of initial value problem are unique.

If  $f$  is not a linear eqn there are certain limitations which must be expected concerning any general existence theorem.

For example,

Consider the eqn

$$y' = y^2$$

$$\text{Here } f(x, y) = y^2$$

We see that  $f$  has derivatives of all orders with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  at every point in the  $(x, y)$  plane.

A soln  $\varphi$  of this eqn satisfying the initial condition

$\varphi(1) = -1$  is given by  $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  for all  $x > 0$  except 0.

This soln ceases to exist at  $x=0$ . 26

This eg shows that any general existence thm for (1) can only assert the existence of a soln on some interval near by the initial point.

This does not occur in the case of the linear eqn (2) for it is clear from (3) that only soln  $\varphi$  exists on all of the interval I.

Part 2: § 8. Variable Separable

### Section-2

#### Equation with Variable Separable

Theorem:

Let  $g, h$  be continuous real valued functions for

$a \leq x \leq b$ ,  $c \leq y \leq d$  respectively and consider the eqn

$$h(y) y' = g(x) \rightarrow (*)$$

Here  $G, H$  are any functions such that  $G' = g$ ,  $H' = h$  and

$c$  is any constant such that the relation

$$H(y) = G(x) + c$$

Define a real valued differentiable function  $\varphi$  for  $x$  in

Some interval I contained in  $a \leq x \leq b$  that  $\varphi$  will be soln to (4) on I.

Conversely if  $\varphi$  is a soln of (4) on I, it satisfies

the relation  $H(y) = G(x) + c$  on I for some constant  $c$ .

Proof:

A 1<sup>st</sup> order eqn

$$y' = f(x, y) \rightarrow (1)$$

is said to have the variables separated if and only if it can be written in the form

$$f(x, y) = \frac{g(x)}{h(y)}$$

where  $g, h$  are fun. of at. single argument.

In this case we write the eqn as

$$h(y) \cdot \frac{dy}{dx} = g(x) \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

$$h(y) dy = g(x) dx$$

Let us consider the case or  $g$  and  $h$  are continuous real valued function, defined for real  $x$  and  $y$  respectively.

If  $y = \varphi(x)$  is a real valued soln of  $\textcircled{2}$  on some interval containing at point  $x_0$  then,

$$h[\varphi(x)] \varphi'(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \text{ in } I$$

$$\int_{x_0}^x h[\varphi(t)] \varphi'(t) dt = \int_{x_0}^x g(t) dt \rightarrow \textcircled{3} \quad \forall x \text{ in } I$$

Let  $u = \varphi(t)$  in the L.H.S of  $\textcircled{3}$  we get,

$$\int_{\varphi(x_0)}^{\varphi(x)} h(u) du = \int_{x_0}^x g(t) dt$$

Conversely,

Suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are related by the formula

$$\int_{y_0}^y h(u) du = \int_{x_0}^x g(t) dt \rightarrow \textcircled{4} \quad \text{for all methods}$$

and that this defines implicitly a differentiable function

$\varphi$  for  $x$  in  $I$

Then this function satisfies

$$\int_{y_0}^y h(u) du = \int_{x_0}^x g(t) dt, \quad \forall x \text{ in } I$$

Diff  $\textcircled{4}$  w.r.t  $x$  we obtain,

$$h[\varphi(x)] \varphi'(x) = g(x)$$

which s.t  $\varphi$  is a soln at  $\textcircled{2}$  on  $I$

In practice, the usual way to go with  $\textcircled{2}$  is to write it as

$$h(y) dy = g(x) dx \quad (\text{thus separated the variables})$$

Integrate we get,

$$\int h(y)dy = \int g(x)dx + c \quad \text{where } c \text{ is a constant}$$

then integral are anti derivative.

$$\text{thus } H(y) = \int h(y)dy \text{ and } G(x) = \int g(x)dx$$

Represents any two functions  $H$  and  $G$  such that

$$H' = h \text{ and } G' = g$$

thus any diff fun  $\varphi$  which is defined implicitly by  
the relation.

$$H(\varphi) = G(x) + c \rightarrow \textcircled{5}$$

will be soln of  $\textcircled{4}$

\* We say that a relation  $F(x, y) = 0$  defines a fun  $\varphi$  implicitly for  $x$  in some interval  $I$ . If for each  $x$  in  $I$  there is a  $y$  such that  $F(x, y) = 0$  this  $y$  being denoted by  $\varphi(x)$ .

\* If fun  $\varphi$  will be a soln of  $y' = \frac{g(x)}{h(y)}$  on  $I$  provided  $h(\varphi(x)) \neq 0 \forall x$  in  $I$ .

Problems,

1.0) Find all real valued Soln of  $y' = x^2y^{1/2} = \frac{dy}{dx}$

Soln:

Given eqn is  $y' = x^2y$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dx} = x^2y$$

$$\frac{dy}{y} = x^2dx$$

If  $y \neq 0$ , Integrating we get,

$$\log y = \frac{x^3}{3} + \log c$$

$$\log y - \log c = \frac{x^3}{3}$$

$$y = c e^{\frac{x^3}{3}}$$

$$y = c e^{\frac{x^3}{3}}$$

b) Solve  $y' = \frac{x-y}{0}$

$$\text{Ex 2) } y^4 = 4^2 \Rightarrow \frac{dy}{4^2} = \frac{dx}{y^3}$$

Sols:

Given eqn is  $y = \frac{x-y}{x+y}$  Method : x + y = C

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{e^x - y}{1 + e^x}$$

$$e^y dy = \frac{e^x}{1+e^x} dx$$

$$\frac{B_1 \gamma_1}{Y_1} = \frac{\text{max}(0 + \eta - r)}{Y_2} = y_0$$

$$y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(x - \mu)}} \phi$$

$\tau = T - \rho$  (or  $\tau = \rho$ )  
~~Newton's law~~

using Through the Point  $(x_0, y_0)$

1.c) i) Find the soln of  $y' = 2y^{1/2}$  passing through the point  $(x_0, y_0)$   
 where  $y_0 > 0$

where  $y_0 > 0$

(iii) Find the all soln of this problem passing through  $(x_0, y_0)$

Soln:

5) If given eqn is

$$y' = 2y^2$$

$$I \approx \frac{dy}{dx} \approx y'$$

$$\frac{1}{4}u^2 du = 2dx$$

## Integrating Weget,

$$\frac{y_2}{y_1} = 2x + c$$

$$24^{\prime\prime} = 2x + c$$

$$y^{1/2} = x + c \quad \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow C = y^{\frac{1}{2}} - x$$

This passes through  $(x_0, y_0)$

$$\Rightarrow c = \sqrt{y_{j_0} - x_0}$$

Sub we get,

$$\sqrt{y} = x + \sqrt{y_0} - x_0$$

$E^{1\text{ex}} = \text{gap} - E_{\text{PAI}}$

$$\Rightarrow y = \Phi(x) = (x - x_0 + \sqrt{y_0})^2 \quad \text{for } x \geq x_0 - \sqrt{y_0}$$

$$\text{for } x \geq x_0 - \sqrt{y_0}$$

end

$$\Phi(x) = -(x - x_0 + \sqrt{y_0})^2 \quad \text{for } x > x_0 - \sqrt{y_0}$$

(ii) If it passes through  $(x_0, 0)$

$$\Rightarrow C = y^{1/2} - x$$

$$C = -x_0$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{y} = x - x_0$$

$$\Rightarrow y = Q(x) = (x - x_0)^2$$

1.d) Find all real valued soln of the following eqn (i)  $y' = 3y^{2/3}$

Soln:

Given eqn is  $y' = 3y^{2/3}$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = 3y^{2/3}$$

$$\frac{dy}{y^{2/3}} = 3dx \Rightarrow y^{-2/3} dy = 3dx$$

Integrating we get,

$$\frac{y^{4/3}}{4/3} = 3x + C$$

$$3y^{4/3} = 3x + C$$

$$y^{4/3} = x + C$$

$$\Rightarrow y = (x + C)^{3/4} \text{ where } C \text{ is constant.}$$

Thus the function  $Q$  given by  $Q(x) = (x + C)^{3/4}$

$Q(x) = (x + C)^{3/4}$  will be a soln of given eqn for any constant  $C$

1.e)  $y' = y^2$

Soln:

Given eqn  $y' = y^2$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = y^2$$

$$y^2 dy = dx$$

Integrating  $\int y^2 dy + \int dx$  we get

$\frac{1}{3}y^3 + x = C$  where  $C$  is constant.

$y = \frac{1}{3}x + C$  is a soln of given eqn provided  $x \neq -C$

is a soln of given eqn provided  $x \neq -C$

$$yy' = x$$

Soln.

Given aq<sub>n</sub> is  $y_n = x$

$$y dy = x dx$$

## Integrating weget.

$$\frac{y^2}{a^2} = \frac{x^2}{b^2} + c$$

$$\rightarrow y^2 \pm x^2 + c$$

$\Rightarrow y = x + c$  is a soln

$$1.8) \quad y' = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{y - y^2}$$

Soln.

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{x_4 x^2}{y - y_2}$$

$$(y - y^2) dy = (x + x^2) dx$$

## Integrating weget.

$$\frac{dy^2}{x^2} - \frac{y^3}{x^3} = \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + c$$

$$\frac{3y^2 - 2y^3}{6x^3} = \frac{3x^2 + 2x^3}{6} + C$$

$$\Rightarrow 3y^2 - 2y^3 = 3x^2 + 2x^3 + C$$

$$y^2(3-2y) = x^2(3+2x) + c$$

## Section-3

## Exact Equation

Suppose the 1<sup>st</sup> order equation,  $y' = f(x, y)$  is written as the form,

$$y' = -\frac{m(x,y)}{N(x,y)}$$

$$e_F = \frac{q\hbar}{m}$$

$$x^b = x^{b'} j$$

(or) equivalently  $m(x,y) + N(x,y) y' = 0 \rightarrow ①$

where  $m, n$  are real valued function defined for

real  $x, y$  on some rectangle

The eqn ① is said to be exact in  $R$ . If  $F$  is a function  $F$  having continuous first partial derivatives there such that  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = M$ ,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N$  in  $R$  (29)

Theorem: 2

Suppose the equation  $M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$  is exact in a rectangle  $R$  and  $F$  is a real valued function such that  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = M$ ,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N$ , every diff. fun.  $q$ , defined implicitly by a relation  $F(x,y) = c$ ,  $c$  is a constant is a soln of ① and for every soln of ① whose graph lies in  $R$  arises this way.

Soln:

Suppose the 1<sup>st</sup> order equation,  $y' = f(x,y)$  is written in the form D method or Bt PdQn method is corrected

$$y' = -\frac{M(x,y)}{N(x,y)}$$

$$(D) \quad M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0 \rightarrow ①$$

where,  $M, N$  are real valued function defined for real  $x, y$  on some rectangle  $R$ . Then we have

① is said to be exact in  $R$  if there exist a fun  $F$  having continuous 1<sup>st</sup> partial derivatives in  $R$

such that above two conditions hold simultaneously

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = M, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N \quad \text{in } R \rightarrow ②$$

If ① is exact in  $R$  and  $F$  is a function satisfying

② then ① becomes

$$\frac{\partial F(x,y)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F(x,y)}{\partial y}y' = 0$$

If  $q$  is any soln on some interval  $I$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial F(x, q(x))}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F(x, q(x))}{\partial y}q'(x) = 0$$

If  $q$  is any soln on some interval  $I$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial F(x, \varphi(x))}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F(x, \varphi(x))}{\partial y} \varphi'(x) = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1} \quad \forall x \in I$$

If  $\Phi(x) \in F(x, \varphi(x))$  then  $\textcircled{1} \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$

$$\textcircled{2} \rightarrow \Phi'(x) = 0 \text{ and hence,}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} = 0.$$

$\rightarrow$  Thus  $F(x, \varphi(x)) = c \rightarrow \textcircled{3}$  where  $c$  is some constant.

$$\textcircled{3} \rightarrow \Phi(x) = c$$

Thus the soln  $\varphi$  must be a function which is given implicitly by those relation.

$\rightarrow$   $F(x, y) = c \rightarrow \textcircled{4}$  from which  $\textcircled{3}$  is also conversely.

Now we see that if  $\varphi$  is a diff function on some interval  $I$  defined implicitly by the relation  $\textcircled{4}$  then

$$F(x, \varphi(x)) = c \quad \forall x \in I$$

diff  $\textcircled{3}$  we get, thus  $\varphi$  is a soln of  $\textcircled{1}$

Remark: not continuous solution have seen in prob.

How to recognize when an equation is exact

Let us suppose that

$$M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 \text{ is exact and writing } M = f_x, N = f_y$$

$f$  is a function which has continuous second order derivative such that,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = M, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N$$

then  $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}$

Since for such a function  $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x \partial y}$

We must have, because since the other side is  $\neq 0$ .

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \quad \text{or } \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = 0$$

It is true that if this eqn is valid then the equation is exact.

Theorem: 3 Necessary and sufficient condition for an equation to be exact

Let  $M, N$  be two real valued functions which have continuous 1<sup>st</sup> order partial derivatives on some rectangle,

$R: |x-x_0| \leq a, |y-y_0| \leq b$ , then the eqn  $M(x,y)dx + N(x,y)dy = 0$  is exact iff  $\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}$  in  $R$

Proof:

Assume that  $M(x,y)dx + N(x,y)dy = 0$  is exact and  $F$  is a function which has continuous second order derivatives such that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = M, \text{ and } \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N \text{ in the rectangle } R \text{ is the most}$$

$$\text{then } \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial y}, \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}$$

since for such that a function

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y \partial x}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \rightarrow \text{①}$$

conversely.

Suppose ① is satisfied in  $R$ . we need to find

a function  $F$  satisfying

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = M, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N$$

$$f_b[(x_0, y_0) - (x, y)] = f_b[x_0, y_0] - f_b[x, y]$$

If we have such a function then

$$F(x, y) - F(x_0, y_0) = f(x, y) - f(x_0, y) + f(x_0, y) - f(x_0, y_0)$$

$$= \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, y) ds + \int_{y_0}^y \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, t) dt$$

$$= \int_{x_0}^x M(s, y) ds + \int_{y_0}^y N(x_0, t) dt \rightarrow \text{②}$$

Similarly, we could have

$$F(x, y) - F(x_0, y_0) = f(x, y) - f(x, y_0) + f(x, y_0) - F(x_0, y_0)$$

$$= \int_{y_0}^y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, t) dt + \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, s) ds \rightarrow \textcircled{5}$$

$$a \text{ little rearrange} = \int_{y_0}^y N(x, t) dt + \int_{x_0}^x M(s, y_0) ds \rightarrow \textcircled{5}$$

We now define  $F$  by the formula

$$F(x, y) = \int_{x_0}^x M(s, y) ds + \int_{y_0}^y N(x, t) dt \rightarrow \textcircled{6}$$

This definition implies that  $F(x_0, y_0) = 0$  and that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, y) = M(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

from  $\textcircled{6}$ , it is clear that  $F$  is also given by

$$F(x, y) = \int_{y_0}^y N(x, t) dt + \int_{x_0}^x M(s, y_0) ds \rightarrow \textcircled{7}$$

This is in fact true and is a consequence of the assumption  $\textcircled{1}$

from  $\textcircled{6}$ , we have,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x, y) = N(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

In order to show that  $\textcircled{7}$  is valid.

where  $F$  is a function given by  $\textcircled{6}$ . Let us consider the difference

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) - & \left[ \int_{y_0}^y N(x, t) dt + \int_{x_0}^x M(s, y_0) ds \right] \\ & = \int_{x_0}^x [M(s, y) - M(s, y_0)] ds - \int_{y_0}^y [N(x, t) - N(x_0, t)] dt \\ & = \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \frac{\partial M}{\partial y}(s, t) dt ds - \int_{y_0}^y \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}(s, t) ds dt \\ & = \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \left[ \frac{\partial M}{\partial y}(s, t) - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}(s, t) \right] ds dt \end{aligned}$$

$$F(x, y) = \int_{y_0}^y N(x, t) dt + \int_{x_0}^x M(s, y_0) ds$$

This completes the proof

To solve the eqn.  $Mdx + Ndy = 0$

- (i) Integrate  $M$  w.r.t  $x$  keeping  $y$  constant
- (ii) Integrate those terms in  $N$  not containing  $x$  w.r.t  $y$
- (iii) The sum of those two integrals equate to the soln.

2.a) Solve the eqn  $2xydx + (x^2 + 3y^2)dy = 0$ . after checking the exactness.

Soln:

Given eqn is  $2xydx + (x^2 + 3y^2)dy = 0$

$$M = 2xy, \quad N = x^2 + 3y^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} = 2x$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}$$

$\therefore$  This eqn is exact for all  $(x, y)$  as required.

w.k.t There is a  $F$  such that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = M, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 2xy$$

for each fixed  $y$ ,  $F = x^2y + f(y)$ , where  $f$  is independent of  $x$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N \text{ gives } x^2 + f'(y) = x^2 + 3y^2$$

$$f'(y) = 3y^2$$

$$f(y) = y^3 - \frac{1}{4}y^4 + C$$

$$F(x, y) = x^2y + y^3 - \frac{1}{4}y^4 + C$$

This the soln is

$$Q = x^2y + y^3 + C, \text{ where } C \text{ is constant.}$$

2.b) Solve  $[2ye^{2x} + 2x \cos y]dx + (e^{2x} - x^2 \sin y)dy = 0$

Soln:

$$M = 2ye^{2x} + 2x \cos y, \quad N = e^{2x} - x^2 \sin y$$

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = 2e^{2x} - 2x \sin y, \quad \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} = 2e^{2x} - 2x \sin y$$

This eqn is exact.

$\therefore$  There is a  $F$  such that  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = M, \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 2ye^x + 2x \cos y$$

for fixed  $y$ , we get,  $F = ye^x + x^2 \cos y + f(y)$

where  $f$  is independent of  $x$ .

Now,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = N$$

$$e^x - x^2 \sin y + f'(y) = e^x - x^2 \sin y$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(y) = 0 \Rightarrow f(y) = \text{constant } (c)$$

This soln is

$$F = ye^x + x^2 \cos y = c.$$

Remark:

Even through an eqn

$$M(x,y)dx + N(x,y)dy = 0$$

may not be exact sometimes it will not be difficult to find C function  $u$ , now here zero such that,

$$u(x,y)M(x,y)dx + u(x,y)N(x,y)dy = 0$$

is exact such a function is called an integrating factor.

$$3.c) (x^2 - 2xy + 3y^2)dx + (y^2 + 6xy - x^2)dy = 0$$

Soln:

$$M = x^2 - 2xy + 3y^2$$

$$N = y^2 + 6xy - x^2$$

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = -2x + 6y$$

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} = 6y - 2x$$

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}$$

$$= 3y^2 - 2x^2$$

$\Rightarrow$  equation is exact.

$$\int M dx + \int N dy \text{ (not containing } x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x^3}{3} - 2y \frac{x^2}{2} + 3y^2 x + \int y^2 dy = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x^3}{3} - x^2 y + 3y^2 x + \frac{y^3}{3} = c$$

$$f'(y) = 2y$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(y) = y^2$$

2.d) Find an integrating factor for the following eqn

Solve  $(2y^3 + 2)dx + 3xy^2dy = 0$

Soln:

We have  $2y^3dx + 3xy^2dy + 2dx = 0$  32

Multiplying by  $x^3$

$$\Rightarrow 2x^3y^3dx + 3x^2y^2dy + 2x^3dx = 0$$

$$d(x^3y^3) + d(x^3) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Soln } x^3y^3 + x^3 = C$$

Plz see ex 4 ab.

2.e) Under the same conditions as above show that if the eqn  $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$  has an integrating factor  $u$ , which is a continuous function of  $x$  alone then  $P = \frac{1}{N}(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x})$  is a continuous and independent of  $y$   $\Rightarrow$  an integrating factor is given by  $u(x) = e^{P(x)}$  where  $P$  is any fun satisfying  $P' = P$

Soln:

Given  $u$  is integrating factor of the eqn, so it is satis

$$M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 \text{ iff}$$

$$u(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}) = N \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - M \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \rightarrow ①$$

since  $u$  is a fun of  $x$  above, alone  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = u'$$

$$\therefore ① \Rightarrow L.H.S = Nu' - 0. \quad R.H.S = \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}$$

$$\text{Now, } P = \frac{1}{N}[\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}] = \frac{1}{N}[N \cdot u']$$

$$P = \frac{1}{u} \cdot u'$$

using ①

which is clearly function of  $x$  above.

$$\log u = \int pdx$$

$$u = e^{\int pdx}$$

$$u = e^{P(x)}$$

$$\therefore F(x)$$

where  $P = P'$

$$= x^3 - x^2y + y^2$$

$$(i) x^3 - x^2y + y^2$$

$\therefore F(x) = x^3 - x^2y + y^2$

Rules for finding integrating factors

- (i) When  $Mdx + Ndy = 0$  and the eqn is homogeneous then  $\frac{1}{Mx-Ny}$  is an integrating factor of  $Mdx + Ndy$ .
- (ii) When  $Mdx - Ndy = 0$  and the eqn is of the form  $f(x,y) \cdot ydx + f(y,x)dy = 0$  then  $\frac{1}{Mx-Ny}$  is an integrating factor.
- (iii) If  $P = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \right)$  is a function of  $x$  along then  $e^{\int P dx}$  is an integrating factor.
- (iv) If  $Q = \frac{1}{M} \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \right)$  is a function of  $y$  along then  $e^{\int Q dy}$  is an integrating factor.
- (v) If the eqn  $Mdx + Ndy = 0$  can be rearranged in the form  $x^a y^b (mydx + nxdy) + x^c y^d (pydx + qydy) = 0$  where  $a, b, c, d, m, n, p, q$  are all constants then  $x^h y^k$  is an integrating factor.

Here the constants  $h$  and  $k$  can be found using

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \text{ and } \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} = h \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = k$$

equating the coefficients of like powers.

2. (i) Find an integrating factor of  $(e^y + xe^y)dx + x e^y dy = 0$  and hence solve it.

Soln:

Comparing with  $Mdx + Ndy = 0$

$$M = e^y + xe^y$$

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = e^y + xe^y$$

$\frac{\partial M}{\partial y} \neq \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \Rightarrow$  the eqn is not exact

$$\text{Let } P = \frac{1}{N} \left[ \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{xe^y} [e^y + xe^y - e^y] = 1$$

Integrating factor is  $u = e^{\int p dx}$

$$\Rightarrow u = e^{\int p dx} = e^{x^2}$$

Multiplying the given differential eqn by  $e^{x^2}$

$$e^{x^2}(x^2 + xy)dx + e^{x^2}x^2 dy = 0$$

$$\text{Divide by } e^{x^2} \quad \frac{x^2}{e^{x^2}} dx + \frac{xy}{e^{x^2}} dx + x^2 dy = 0$$

$$\therefore \text{by } x^2$$

$$\frac{1}{x} dx + dx + dy = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{x} + 1\right) dx + dy = 0$$

Integrating we get,

$$\log x + x + y = \log c$$

$$x + y = \log c - \log x$$

$$x + y = \log(c/x)$$

$$e^{x+y} = c/x \quad \text{or } c = x e^{x+y}$$

$$\therefore c = x e^{x+y}$$

#### Section-4

The methods of successive approximation:

Here we consider the general form of finding soln of the given differential eqn.

$$y' = f(x, y) \rightarrow \text{①}$$

where  $f$  is continuous real valued function defined on some rectangle.

$R: |x - x_0| \leq a, |y - y_0| \leq b$  ( $a, b > 0$ ) in the real  $(x, y)$  plane.

Our objective is to find  $y$  s.t. on some interval  $I$  containing  $x_0$ , there is a soln  $\Phi$  of ① satisfying

$$\Phi(x_0) = y_0 \rightarrow \text{②}$$

By this we mean that there is a real valued diff. funct.,  $\varphi$  satisfying ③ such that the points  $(x, \varphi(x))$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for  $x$  in  $I$  and  $\varphi'(x) = f(x, \varphi(x))$  for all  $x$  in  $I$ .

$$\varphi'(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)) \text{ for all } x \text{ in } I \quad \text{on the condition}$$

Such a function  $\varphi$  is called a soln. to the initial value problem.

$$y' = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0 \rightarrow \text{① on } I$$

By a soln of this eqn on  $I$ , we mean that a real valued continuous function  $\varphi$  on  $I$  such that  $(x, \varphi(x))$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \forall x$  in  $I$  and

$$\varphi'(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, \varphi(t)) dt \rightarrow \text{② } \forall x \text{ in } I.$$

Theorem: 4

A function  $\varphi$  is a soln of the IVP  $y' = f(x, y)$ ,  $y(x_0) = y_0$  on an interval  $I$  iff it is a soln of the integral eqn.

$$y = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y) dt \text{ on } I$$

Proof:  $\Rightarrow$  part is not strong enough or robust enough

Suppose  $\varphi$  is a soln of the IVP on  $I$

$$\text{Then } \varphi'(t) = f(t, \varphi(t)) \rightarrow \text{① on } I$$

Since  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $I$  and  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  the function  $F$  defined by

$$F(t) = \int_{x_0}^t f(t, \varphi(t)) dt \text{ is continuous on } I$$

Integrating ① from  $x_0$  to  $x$  we obtain

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, \varphi(t)) dt \rightarrow \text{② } \text{from } \text{①}$$

Since  $\varphi(x_0) = y_0$  we see that  $\varphi$  is a soln of

$$y = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y) dt \rightarrow \text{③}$$

conversely, suppose  $\varphi$  is a solution  
 $\varphi(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, \varphi(t)) dt \rightarrow \text{①}$  on  $I$ .

by using the fundamental theorem of integral calculus  
 we find that.

$$\varphi'(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)) \quad \forall x \in I$$

moreover from ①, it is clear that,

$$\varphi(x_0) = y_0$$

and thus  $\varphi$  is a soln of the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y) \rightarrow y(x_0) = y_0 \text{ on } I$$

This proves the theorem now let's look at  
 working rule:

let us consider solving.  $y = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y) dt \rightarrow \text{①}$   
 is a 1<sup>st</sup> approximation to a soln we consider the fun  
 $\varphi_0$  defined by  $\varphi_0(x) = y_0$ .  
 This fun satisfies the initial condition  $\varphi_0(x_0) = y_0$  but  
 does not in general satisfy ①. see method one

However if we compute

$$\varphi_1(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, \varphi_0(t)) dt \text{ where } \varphi_0(x) = y_0 \text{ on } I$$

we might expect that  $\varphi_1$  is a closer approximation to a  
 soln than  $\varphi_0$ .

If we continue the process and define successively.

$$\varphi_0(x) = y$$

$$\varphi_{k+1}(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, \varphi_k(t)) dt, \quad k=0, 1, 2, \dots \rightarrow \text{②}$$

We might expect on taking the limits  $K \rightarrow \infty$  that we would obtain  $\varphi_K(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$  where  $\varphi$  would satisfy

$$\varphi(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, \varphi(t)) dt \quad \text{or Leibniz's integral form}$$

Thus  $\varphi$  would be our desired soln.

we call the fun  $q_0, q_1, \dots$  defined by ② as successive approximation to a soln of the integral equation

$$y = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y) dt$$

(a) The initial value problem  $y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0$ .

This method is also known as Picard's methods of successive approximation.

To show that  $\int_{x_0}^x f(t, y) dt$  is continuous w.r.t.

There is an interval  $I$  containing  $x_0$  where all the function  $q_k \rightarrow k=0, 1, 2, \dots$  defined by ② exists.

Since  $f$  is continuous on  $R$ , it is bounded there

(i) If a constant  $m > 0$ . If  $|f(x, y)| \leq m$ ,  $\forall (x, y)$  in  $R$ .

Let  $a$  be the smaller of the two numbers  $a, b/m$  between  $x_0$  and  $b$ . Then we have all the  $q_k$  are defined on  $[x_0, b]$ .

Theorem 1.5

The Successive approximation  $q_0$  defined by  $y = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y) dt$  exists as continuous function on  $I = |x - x_0| \leq d = \min\{a, b/m\}$  and  $\{x, q_k(x)\}$  is in  $K$  for  $x$  in  $I$ . Indeed, the  $q_k$  satisfy

$$|q_k(x) - x| \leq m|x - x_0| \quad \forall x \text{ in } I$$

$$\text{③} \Rightarrow q_0(x) = y_0$$

$$\text{④} \Rightarrow q_{k+1}(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, q_k(t)) dt \quad K = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

proof: To prove that  $q_n$  satisfies (5) we note that  $f$  is continuous and clearly  $q_n$  exists on  $\mathbb{I}$  as a continuous function and satisfy with  $x_0 = 0$

$$\text{Now, } q_n(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y_0) dt \text{ and we see that } q_n \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{I}$$

$$q_n(x) - y_0 = \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y_0) dt \leq M \int_{x_0}^x dt = M(x - x_0) < 0$$

$$\text{Hence } |q_n(x) - y_0| < \int_{x_0}^x |f(t, y_0)| dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Therefore we have } |q_n(x) - y_0| \leq \int_{x_0}^x |f(t, y_0)| dt \\ &\leq \int_{x_0}^x L(t, y_0) dt \\ &\leq M \int_{x_0}^x dt = M(x - x_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$(1) |q_n(x) - y_0| \leq M|x - x_0| \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{I}$$

which shows that  $q_n$  satisfies the inequality since  $f$  is continuous in  $x$  the fun  $F_n$  defined by

$$F_n(t) = \int_{x_0}^t f(s, y_0) ds \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{I} \text{ as required.}$$

thus  $q_n$  which is given by

$$q_n(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x F_n(t) dt \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{I}.$$

This also shows the theorem has been proved for the functions  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_k$

we prove it is valid for  $q_{k+1}$

Indeed the proof is just a repetition of the above, we let  $(t, q_k(t))$  is in  $\mathbb{I}$  for  $t$  in  $\mathbb{I}$

thus the fun  $F_k$  is given by

$$F_k(t) = \int_{x_0}^t f(s, q_k(s)) ds \text{ exists for } t \text{ in } \mathbb{I}$$

It is continuous on  $\mathbb{I}$ , since  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{I}$  and

$q_k$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{I}$ .

$q_{k+1}(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x F_k(t) dt$  exists as a continuous

fun on  $\mathbb{I}$

$$\text{moreover } |q_{k+1}(x) - y_0| \leq \int_{x_0}^x |F_k(t)| dt$$

$$\leq M \int_{x_0}^x dt$$

which shows that  $q_{k+1}$  satisfies (5)

Thus the theorem is proved by induction

3.a) consider the IVP  $y' = 3y + 1$ ,  $y(0) = 2$  [ $(0, y(0)) = 2$ ].

Q Show that all successive approximation  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n$  exist  
for real  $x$ .

(b) compute the 1<sup>st</sup> four approximation,  $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3$  to the soln.

(c) compute the soln by actual method

(d) compare the result of (b) and c

Soln:

(i) Since  $f(x, y) = 3y + 1$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , all successive approximations  $q_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) exists, real

b) The given eqn is written as

$$y = q(x) = y_0 + \int_0^x f(t, q(t)) dt \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}, y_0 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{ii) } q(x) = 2 + \int_0^x (3y + 1) dt$$

The successive approximations are given by

$$q_0(x) = y_0 = 2 \quad \text{at } x = 0 \text{ and } \frac{d}{dx} q_0(x) = 0$$

$$q_{k+1}(x) = 2 + \int_0^x (3q_k(t) + 1) dt \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

so, before next step we must put answer with  $\frac{d}{dx}$

put  $k=0$ ,

$$q_1(x) = 2 + \int_0^x (3 \cdot 2 + 1) dt = 2 + 7x$$

put  $k=1$ , or  $\frac{d}{dx}$  of  $q_1(x)$  we have  $\frac{d}{dx} q_1(x) = 7$

$$q_2(x) = 2 + \int_0^x [3(2 + 7t) + 1] dt$$

$$= 2 + 7x + \frac{21}{2}x^2$$

$k=2$ ,

$$\text{and } q_3(x) = 2 + \int_0^x [3(2 + 7t + \frac{21}{2}t^2) + 1] dt$$

$$= 2 + 7x + \frac{21}{2}x^2 + \frac{21}{2}x^3$$

we find  $x = 10$  gives  $q_3(10) = 700$

$k=3$ ,

$$q_4(x) = 2 + 7x + \frac{21}{2}x^2 + \frac{21}{2}x^3 + \frac{63}{8}x^4$$

$$= 2 + 7x + \frac{21}{2}x^2 + \frac{21}{2}x^3 + \frac{63}{8}x^4 + \frac{147}{16}x^5$$

for  $x = 3$

if we calculate for  $x = 10$  gives  $q_4(10) = 1000$

c) the given eqn is  $y' - 3y = 1$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} - 3y = 1 \quad \text{initial condition } y(0) = 0$$

$$y = -\frac{1}{3} + ce^{3x}$$

$$\text{since } x=0, y=0$$

$$0 = -\frac{1}{3} + ce^0 \Rightarrow c = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$y = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}e^{3x}$$

$$y = \frac{1}{3}(e^{3x} - 1)$$

$$y = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 + 3x + \frac{(3x)^2}{2!} + \frac{(3x)^3}{3!} + \dots - 1 \right]$$

$$y = 2 + 3x + \frac{9}{2}x^2 + \frac{27}{8}x^3 + \dots$$

at bottom  $= \frac{1}{3}(e^{3x} - 1)$

also from (b)

$$q(x) = 2 + 3x + \frac{9}{2}x^2 + \frac{27}{8}x^3 + \dots \text{ (approximate value)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}(e^{3x} - 1)$$

3.b) Find the 1<sup>st</sup> four successive approximation  $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3$   
of the eqn.  $y' = 1+xy$ , (i)  $y(0)=0$ , (ii)  $y(0)=1$ .

Soln:

$$\text{Given } y' = 1+xy, \quad y(0)=0$$

$$(i) f(x,y) = xy + 1, \quad y(0)=0, \quad x_0=0$$

The successive approximation are

$$q_0(x) = 0$$

$$q_{k+1}(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(1+tq_k(t)) dt$$

$$k=0,$$

$$q_1(x) = 0 + \int_0^x f(1+tq_0(t)) dt$$

The given eqn is  $y' - 3y = 1$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} - 3y = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow y = -\frac{1}{3} + ce^{3x}$$

Since  $x=0, y=2$

$$2 = ce^0 - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$2 + \frac{1}{3} = c$$

$$c = \frac{7}{3}$$

$$\therefore y = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{7}{3}e^{3x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}(7e^{3x} - 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} [7(1 + 3x + \frac{(3x)^2}{2!} + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{7}{n!})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} [7 + 21x + \frac{63x^2}{2} + \frac{189x^3}{6} + \dots - 1]$$

$$= \frac{7}{3} + 7x + \frac{21}{2}x^2 + \frac{21}{2}x^3 + \frac{63}{8}x^4 + \dots - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$y = 2 + 7x + \frac{21}{2}x^2 + \frac{21}{2}x^3 + \dots$$

Also from (b)

$$q(x) = 2 + 7x + \frac{21}{2}x^2 + \frac{21}{2}x^3 + \dots - 1$$

3(b) Find the 1<sup>st</sup> four successive approximation  $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3$   
of the eqn.  $y' = 1 + xy$ , (i)  $y(0)=0$ , (ii)  $y(0)=1$ .

Soln:

Given  $y' = 1 + xy$ ,  $y(0)=0$

(i)  $g(x,y) = xy + 1$ ,  $y(0)=0$ ,  $x_0=0$

The successive approximation are

$$q_0(x)=0$$

$$q_{k+1}(x) = q_k(x) + \int_{x_0}^x g(1+tq_k(t)) dt$$

$$k=0, \quad q_1(x) = 0 + \int_0^x g(1+tq_0(t)) dt$$

$$= \int_0^x (1 + t \cdot \varphi_0(t)) dt$$

$$= \int_0^x (1 + t(0)) dt = \int_0^x dt$$

$$\varphi_1(x) = x$$

$$k=1, \quad \varphi_2(x) = \int_0^x (1+t \cdot t) dt$$

$$= \int_0^x (1+t^2) dt = x + \frac{x^3}{3}$$

$$k=2,$$

$$\varphi_3(x) = \int_0^x (1+t \cdot \varphi_2(t)) dt$$

$$= \int_0^x [1+t(t+\frac{x^3}{3})] dt = \int_0^x (1+t^2+\frac{t^4}{3}) dt$$

$$\varphi_3(x) = x + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{15}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \varphi_0 = 0, \quad \varphi_1 = x, \quad \varphi_2 = x + \frac{x^3}{3}, \quad \varphi_3 = x + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{15}$$

3.c) Given  $y' = x+y, \quad y(0)=1$ , Find by Picard's method the first four successive approximations.

Soln:

Given  $f(x, y) = x+y, \quad y(0)=1, \quad x_0=0$ . The successive approximations are.

$$\varphi_0(x) = 1$$

$$(1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) \frac{1}{E} =$$

$$\varphi_{k+1}(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, \varphi_k(t)) dt$$

$$\varphi_{k+1}(x) = 1 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t + \varphi_k(t)) dt$$

$$k=0,$$

$$\varphi_1(x) = 1 + \int_0^x (t + \varphi_0(t)) dt$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x (t + 1) dt$$

$$\varphi_1(x) = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2}$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = 1 + \int_0^x (t + \varphi_1(t)) dt$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x (t + 1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2}) dt$$

$$Q_2(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \frac{x^3}{6}$$

$$Q_3(x) = 1 + \int_0^x (t + Q_2(t)) dt$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x (t + 1 + t + t^2 + \frac{t^3}{6}) dt$$

$$= 1 + \left[ \frac{t^2}{2} + t + \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{t^4}{6} + \frac{t^5}{30} \right]_0^x$$

Initial condition  $y(0) = 0$  is satisfied.

$$Q_3(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^4}{24}$$

After iteration,  $Q_3 = 1 + x + x^2 + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^4}{24}$  is a solution of the differential equation.

$$3d) y' = y^2, \quad y(0) = 0$$

Soln:

$$Q_0(x) = y_0 = 0$$

After the first iteration, we get  $Q_1(x) = 0$ .

$$Q_{k+1}(x) = y_0 + \int_0^x f(t, Q_k(t)) dt$$

$$Q_{k+1}(x) = \int_0^x Q_k^2(t) dt$$

$k=0$ ,

$$Q_1(x) = \int_0^x Q_0^2(t) dt = \int_0^x 0 \cdot dt$$

Applying the initial condition  $y(0) = 0$ , we get  $Q_1(0) = 0$ .

$$Q_1(x) = 0$$

Similarly, after second iteration, we get  $Q_2(x) = 0$ .

$$k=1, \quad Q_2(x) = \int_0^x Q_1^2(t) dt = \int_0^x 0 \cdot dt$$

$$Q_2(x) = 0.$$

Similarly,  $Q_3 = 0$

$$\therefore Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_3 = 0.$$

$$3e) y' = y^2, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y_0 = Q_0(0) = 1, \quad x_0 = 0$$

Soln:

$$Q_{k+1}(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, Q_k(t)) dt$$

Initial condition  $y(0) = 1$  is satisfied.

$$k=0 \Rightarrow Q_1(x) = 1 + \int_0^x f(t, Q_0(t)) dt \Rightarrow 1 + \int_0^x 1 \cdot dt$$

$$Q_1(x) = 1 + [t]_0^x = 1 + x$$

$$k=1, \quad Q_2(x) = 1 + \int_0^x f(t, Q_1(t)) dt \Rightarrow 1 + \int_0^x [1+t]^2 dt = 1 + \int_0^x (t^2 + 2t + 1) dt$$

$$= 1 + \left[ \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{2t^2}{2} + t \right]_0^x = 1 + t + t^2 + \frac{t^3}{3}$$

## Section-5

### The Lipschitz condition

**Definition:**

Let  $f$  be a function defined for  $(x, y)$  in a set  $S$ . We say that  $f$  satisfies a respectively condition on  $S$  if there exists a constant  $K \geq 0$  such that the constant  $K$  is called a Lipschitz constant. The condition is Lipschitz condition.

**Theorem 6**

Suppose  $S$  is either a rectangle  $|x - x_0| \leq a, |y - y_0| \leq b$  or a strip  $|x - x_0| \leq a, |y| \leq b$  ( $a > 0$ ) and so that for a real valued function defined on  $S$   $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  exists in continuous on  $S$  and

$|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)| \leq K$ ,  $(x, y) \in S$  for some  $K > 0$  Then  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $S$  with Lipschitz constant  $K$ .

**Soln:**

We have,

$$f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2) = \int_{y_2}^{y_1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, t) dt$$

and hence

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq \int_{y_2}^{y_1} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, t) \right| dt$$

$$\leq K |y_1 - y_2| \quad \forall (x, y_1), (x, y_2) \text{ in } S$$

Hence  $f$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition.

38

problem 1. Given  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ ,  $|x| \leq a$ ,  $|y| \leq b$ . S.T. The function  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$  satisfies Lipschitz condition. Find the Lipschitz constant.

Soln:

Given  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ ,  $|x| \leq a$ ,  $|y| \leq b$ . From part (f)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = 2y$$

$\therefore \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right| = |2y| \leq 2b$  for  $(x, y) \in S$

Hence  $f(x, y)$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant  $K = 2b$ .

Ques. 2. Given  $f(x, y) = xy^2$  satisfies Lipschitz condition on the rectangle  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $|y| \leq 1$  but does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition on the strip  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $y < \infty$ .

Soln: Let's write part (f) now if we consider  $x = 0$  then

$f(x, y) = xy^2$  will not be in continuous differentiable

Ques. 2. Given  $f(x, y) = xy^2$  satisfies Lipschitz condition on the rectangle  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $|y| \leq 1$  but does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition on the strip  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $y < \infty$ .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = 2xy$$

Hence  $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right| = |2xy| \leq 2$  for  $(x, y) \in S$

$\therefore f(x, y)$  satisfies Lipschitz condition wise  $K=2$  if  $S$  is the strip  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $y < \infty$ .

We have  $\left| \frac{f(x, y_1) - f(x, 0)}{y_1 - 0} \right| = |x(y_1)|$  which goes to  $\infty$  as  $|y_1| \rightarrow \infty$  if  $|x| \neq 0$ .

Hence the Lipschitz condition is not satisfied on the strip  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $y < \infty$ .

$$\text{Ex. } \frac{|f(x_1) - f(x_0)|}{|x_1 - x_0|} = \frac{|x_1^2 + y_1^2 - x_0^2 - y_0^2|}{|x_1 - x_0|}$$

Ques. 3. Given  $f(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x+y}$  for  $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ .

4.c) S.T. the function  $f(x,y) = y^{\frac{2}{3}}$  even though continuous on  $R: |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1$  does not satisfy Lipschitz condition. There are lots of points where it fails the Lipschitz condition.

Soln:

Let  $y_1 > 0$

$$\left| \frac{f(x, y_1) - f(x, 0)}{(y_1 - 0)} \right| = \frac{y_1^{\frac{2}{3}}}{y_1} = \frac{1}{y_1^{\frac{1}{3}}} \in \frac{1}{0} = \infty$$

which is unbounded as  $y_1 \rightarrow 0$

S.T. function  $g$  given by  $f(x,y) = y^{\frac{1}{2}}$  does not satisfy the Lipschitz condition on  $R: |x| \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1$ .

iii) Show that  $f$  satisfies Lipschitz condition on any rectangle  $R$  of the form

$$R: |x| \leq a, 0 \leq y \leq b, a, b > 0$$

4.d) S.T. the function  $g$  given by  $f(x,y) = x^2|y|$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $R: |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1$  even though  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  does not exist at  $(x,0)$  if  $x \neq 0$ .

Soln:

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| = |x^2|y_1| - x^2|y_2||$$

$$= |x^2| |y_1| - |y_2| |$$

$$\leq |x^2| |y_1 - y_2|$$

$$\leq |y_1 - y_2| \text{ since } |x| \leq 1$$

thus  $f$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with  $k=1$ .

Now for  $x \neq 0$ .

$$\text{as } L.H.S. \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,0)} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, y) - f(x, 0)}{y - 0}$$

$$= \frac{x^2|y|}{y} = \pm x^2$$

$\therefore \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  does not exist at  $(x,0)$

The problem for the condition (ii) is to show it is  
only sufficient but not necessary for the validity of the  
reverse condition.

(20) Under the conditions that  $\alpha$  is function  
of  $x$  on  $R$  having continuous first partial  
derivatives is an integrable function if and

only if  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}$  on  $R$ .

i) If  $\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}\right) - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} = 0$  then  $\int dy$

is if the expression  $\alpha(x)$  is a function of

then if  $\alpha(x)$  is  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}$  a

then  $\alpha(x)$  is a function of  $x$ .

It follows from (17) & (18).

ii) If  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}$  then  $\int dy$

is if  $\alpha(x)$  is a function of  $y$  then  $\alpha(x)$

is a function of  $x$  and  $\alpha(x)$  is a

function of  $x$  and  $y$ .

Thus  $\int dy$

$\int dx$